

Appl. No. 10/694,280
Amdt. dated June 28, 2005
Reply to Office Action of June 7, 2005

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

Oath / Declaration

We wish to thank the Examiner for the telephone interview of June 21, 2005.

As explained during this interview, the reason why the basic Canadian application No. 2,440,587 was not identified in the original Declaration, is because its serial number was not yet available at that time.

We now attach a copy of the original front page of the Declaration in which this number is indicated.

Moreover, the priority of the basic Canadian application is not claimed in this case, since the two applications were filed very close to one another. This is also indicated in the attached front page of the Declaration which we request the Examiner to substitute for the original front page. Since the priority is not claimed, no new Declaration is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 has been amended to obviate the formal objections raised by the Examiner.

It is believed that this places this application in condition for allowance and a favorable action is accordingly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



George J. Primak
Agent for the Applicant
Registration No. 24,991
Client No. 026031

GJP/pp
June 28, 2005

Tel: (514) 620-3936
Fax: (514) 620-7925